

# **Contribution of Physiological Limitations of Vision to Change Blindness** Elisabeth Niederman, Ben D Sawyer, P A Hancock Department of Psychology, University of Central Florida Methods

## **Previous Research**

Change Blindness is the failure to detect changes to a scene or object (Simons & Levin 1997). Often caused by lack of attention (Rensink 2000).

#### Results using flicker paradigm suggest it takes a long time to detect changes

(Rensink, O'Regan, & Clark 1997) •



When cued to look where a change may be, participants detected changes with near perfect accuracy (Wilson & Goddard 2011).

## Limitations of Vision

Visual acuity is greatest at the fovea. Fovea has a diameter of only 2° (Foley & Matlin 2010).



### **Research Question**

Do the limitations of vision contribute to Change Blindness?

Participants (N=12, mean age 19.6) located differences between two drawings.



Group 1 viewed drawings for 3 minutes, and Group 2 viewed the drawings for 1.5 minutes. We hypothesized that longer time would result in more changes found for fixations which were not equipment errors.

## Analysis

To determine if a participant fixated on a change, a region with classified as a radius of 2° was constructed around each change.



considered change A was "detected" if participant the clicked on the change.

Detected changes were "Full," "Half," or "Zero" based on whether the participant fixated on the location of a change in both images, one image, or neither image.

A faceLAB 5.0 eye tracking system was used to record the location of participants' fixations.



A chinrest was used to prevent head movement.





Using a Bonferrroni adjusted alpha of .025 results for fixation type were considered separately. Full Fixation showed a significant difference [F(1, 14) = 12.94, p = .003; partial eta squared = .48].

In the vast majority of cases, changes were detected with a Full fixation. This suggests that there is a physiological prerequisite to change detection, and that change blindness is not only attentional.

Changes detected with a Half or Zero Fixation may be due to equipment error.

Foley H. J. & Matlin M. W. (2010). Sensation and perception (5th ed.) Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon. Rensink, R. A. (2000). When good observers go bad: Change blindness, inattentional blindness, and visual experience. Psyche: An Interdisciplinary Journal Of Research On Consciousness, 6(9). Rensink, R. A., O'Regan, J., & Clark, J. J. (1997). To see or not to see: The need for attention to perceive changes in scenes. Psychological Science, 8(5), 368-373. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9280.1997.tb00427.x Simons, D. J., & Levin, D. T. (1997). Change blindness. Trends In Cognitive Sciences, 1(7), 261-267. doi:10.1016/S1364-6613(97)01080-2 Wilson, S., & Goddard, P. A. (2011). The effect of cueing on change blindness and same blindness. Visual Cognition, 19(8), 973-982. doi:10.1080/13506285.2011.613420



### Results

We performed a one-way MANOVA. There was a significant difference between the 1.5 and 3 minute conditions [F(2,12) = 4.31, p = 0.28; Wilks' Lambda = .48;Partial eta squared .519].



## Discussion

#### References